

SGP- LOCALLY CLOSED SETS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES (PART -II)

Tippeshi. V. Marigoudar¹ & Manjunathayya. M. Holliyavar²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Research Scholar, (PPMAT0168), Rayalseema University, Kurnool-518007. (A.P), India. vaishnavimarigoudar@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, KLE Society's SSMS College, Athani -591304 Karnataka State, India mmholliyavar@gmail.com

Paper Received On: 21 JUNE 2021

Peer Reviewed On: 30 JUNE 2021

Published On: 1 JULY 2021

Content Originality & Unique: 87%

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce the new class of sgp- locally closed sets in topological spaces and studied some of their properties and characterizations.

Keywords – Topological spaces, $SGPLC(X, \tau)$, $SGPLC^*(X, \tau)$ $SGPLC^{**}(X, \tau)$ $LC(X, \tau)$

AMS Subject Classifications: 54A05, 54A10



Scholarly Research Journal's is licensed Based on a work at www.srjis.com

1 Introduction

The notion of a locally closed set in a topological space was introduced by Kuratowski and Sierpinski [8]. According to Bourbaki [5], a subset A of a topological space X is called locally closed in X if it is the intersection of an open set in X and a closed set in X . Ganster and Reilly [6] used locally closed sets to define LC- Continuity and LC-irresoluteness. Balachandran, Sundaram and Maki [3] introduced the concept of generalized locally closed sets in topological spaces and investigated some of their properties. Recently Sheik John [15] introduced the three new class of sets denoted by ω - $LC(X, \tau)$, ω - $LC^*(X, \tau)$ and ω - $LC^{**}(X, \tau)$ and each of which contains $LC(X, \tau)$. Also various authors like Gnanambal [7] and Park and Park [14] have introduced α -locally closed and semi generalized locally closed sets respectively in topological spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the thesis (X, τ) and (Y, σ) denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated and they simply written as X and Y respectively. All sets are considered to be subsets to topological spaces. The complement of A is denoted by $X - A$. The closure and interior of a set A are denoted by $Cl(A)$ and $int(A)$ respectively.

The following definitions are useful in the sequel :

DEFINITION 1.1 : A subset A of a space X is said to be

- (i) Semi open [9] if $A \subset Cl(Int(A))$.
- (ii) semi-closed set[4] if $Int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$.
- (iii) preopen [5] if $A \subset Int(Cl(A))$
- (iv) preclosed [12] if $Cl(Int(A)) \subseteq A$
- (v) α - open [13] if $A \subset Int(Cl(Int A))$
- (vi) α - closed [11] if $Cl(Int(Cl(A))) \subseteq A$
- (vii) Semi - preopen [2] (= β - open [1]) if $A \subset Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$
- (viii) a semi- pre closed set [1] if $Int(cl(Int(A))) \subset A$

The family of all semi open sets (resp. semi-pre open sets) of X will be denoted by $SO(X)$ $SPO(X)$.

sgp-Locally Closed Sets

In this section, we introduce sgp-locally closed sets and sgp-submaximal and study some of their properties.

Definition 1.2.1: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a semi-generalized-pre locally closed set (briefly sgplc-set) if $A = S \cap F$ where S is sgp-open and F is sgp-closed.

The class of all semi-generalized-pre locally closed sets in (X, τ) is denoted by $SGPLC(X, \tau)$.

Definition 1.2.2: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be $SGPLC^*$ -set if there exist sgp-open set S and a closed set F of (X, τ) such that $A = S \cap F$.

Definition 1.2.3: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be $SGPLC^{**}$ -set if there exist an open set S and a sgp-closed set F of (X, τ) such that $A = S \cap F$.

Theorem 1.2.4: For a subset A of (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

- 1) $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$
- 2) $A = P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$ for some sgp-open set P .
- 3) $\text{pCl}(A) - A$ is sgp-closed.
- 4) $A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$ is sgp-open.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2):- Let $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$. Then there exists a sgp-open set P and a closed set F of (X, τ) such that $A = P \cap F$. Since $A \subseteq P$ and $A \subseteq \text{pCl}(A)$. Therefore we have $A \subseteq P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$.

Conversely, since $\text{pCl}(A) \subseteq F$, $P \cap \text{pCl}(A) \subseteq P \cap F = A$. Which implies that $A = P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1):- Since P is sgp-open and $\text{pCl}(A)$ is closed.

$P \cap \text{pCl}(A) \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$. Which implies that $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) :- Let $F = \text{pCl}(A) - A$. Then F is sgp-closed by the assumption and $X - F = X \cap (X - (\text{pCl}(A) - A)) = A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$. But $X - F$ is sgp-open. This shows that $A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$ is sgp-open.

(4) \Rightarrow (3):- Let $U = A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$. Since U is sgp-open, $X - U$ is sgp-closed. $X - U = X - (A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))) = \text{pCl}(A) \cap (X - A) = \text{pCl}(A) - A$.

Thus $\text{pCl}(A) - A$ is sgp-closed set.

(4) \Rightarrow (2):- Let $P = A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$. Thus P is sgp-open. We prove that $A = P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$ for some sgp-open set P . $P \cap \text{pCl}(A) = (A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))) \cap \text{pCl}(A) = (\text{pCl}(A) \cap A) \cup (\text{pCl}(A) \cap (X - \text{pCl}(A))) = A \cup \phi = A$. Therefore $A = P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (4):- Let $A = P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$ for some sgp-open set P . Then we prove that $A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$ is sgp-open. Now $A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A)) = (P \cap \text{pCl}(A)) \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A)) = P \cap (\text{pCl}(A) \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))) = P$. Which is sgp-open. Thus $A \cup (X - \text{pCl}(A))$ is sgp-open.

Theorem 1.2.5: If $A, B \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$, then $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: From the assumptions, there exist sgp-open sets P and Q such that $A = P \cap \text{pCl}(A)$ and $B = Q \cap \text{pCl}(B)$. Then $A \cap B = (P \cap Q) \cap (\text{pCl}(A) \cap \text{pCl}(B))$. Since $P \cap Q$ is sgp-open set and $\text{pCl}(A) \cap \text{pCl}(B)$ is closed. Therefore $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 1.2.6: If $A \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$ and B is sgp-closed set in (X, τ) , then $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: Since $A \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$, there exist a sgp-open set P and a sgp-closed set Q such that $A = P \cap Q$. Now $A \cap B = (P \cap Q) \cap B = P \cap (Q \cap B)$. Since P is sgp-open and $Q \cap B$ is sgp-closed, Therefore $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 1.2.7: If $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$ and B is sgp-open (or closed) set in (X, τ) , then $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$.

Proof: Since $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$, there exist a sgp-open set P and a closed set Q such that $A = P \cap Q$. Now $A \cap B = (P \cap Q) \cap B = (P \cap B) \cap Q$. Since $P \cap B$ is sgp-open and Q is closed, it follows that $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$.

In this case of B being a closed set, we have $A \cap B = (P \cap Q) \cap B = P \cap (Q \cap B)$. Since P is sgp-open set and $Q \cap B$ is closed. Thus $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 1.2.8: If $A \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$ and B is sgp-closed (resp. open) set in (X, τ) , then $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: Since $A \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$, there exist an open set P and a sgp-closed set Q such that $A = P \cap Q$. Now $A \cap B = (P \cap Q) \cap B = P \cap (Q \cap B)$. Since P is open and $Q \cap B$ is sgp-closed, Therefore $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$.

In this case of B being an open set, we have $A \cap B = (P \cap Q) \cap B = (P \cap B) \cap Q$. Since $P \cap B$ is open and Q is sgp-closed, Thus $A \cap B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 1.2.9: Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces.

- 1) If $A \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$ and $B \in \text{SGPLC}(Y, \sigma)$, then $A \times B \in \text{SGPLC}(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$
- 2) If $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$ and $B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(Y, \sigma)$, then $A \times B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$.
- 3) If $A \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$ and $B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(Y, \sigma)$, then $A \times B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$.

Proof: 1) Let $A \in \text{SGPLC}(X, \tau)$ and $B \in \text{SGPLC}(Y, \sigma)$. Then there exist sgp-open sets M and M^l of (X, τ) and (Y, σ) and sgp-closed sets N and N^l of X and Y respectively such that $A = M \cap N$ and $B = M^l \cap N^l$.

Then $A \times B = (M \times M^l) \cap (N \times N^l)$ holds. Hence $A \times B \in \text{SGPLC}(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$.

2) Let $A \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X, \tau)$ and $B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(Y, \sigma)$. Then there exist sgp-open sets K and K^l of (X, τ) and (Y, σ) and sgp-closed sets L and L^l of X and Y respectively such that $A = K \cap L$ and $B = K^l \cap L^l$.

Then $A \times B = (K \times K^l) \cap (L \times L^l)$ holds. Hence $A \times B \in \text{SGPLC}^*(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$.

3) Let $A \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$ and $B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(Y, \sigma)$. Then there exist open sets W and W' of (X, τ) and (Y, σ) and sgp-closed sets V and V' of X and Y respectively such that $A = W \cap V$ and $B = W' \cap V'$.

Then $A \times B = (W \times W') \cap (V \times V')$ holds.

Hence $A \times B \in \text{SGPLC}^{**}(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$.

Definition 1.2.10: A topological space (X, τ) is said to be sgp-submaximal if every dense subset in it is sgp-open.

Theorem 1.2.11: Every submaximal space is sgp-submaximal.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a submaximal space and A be a dense subset of (X, τ) . Then A is open. But every open set is sgp-open and so A is sgp-open. Therefore (X, τ) is sgp-submaximal.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 1.2.12: In the Example 6.2.11, the space (X, τ) is sgp-submaximal but not submaximal, every dense subset is sgp-open. However the set $A = \{a, b\}$ is dense in (X, τ) , but it is not open in X . Therefore (X, τ) is not submaximal.

Theorem 1.2.13: Every ω -submaximal space is sgp-submaximal.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a ω -submaximal space and A be a dense subset of (X, τ) . Then A is ω -open. But every ω -open set is sgp-open and so A is sgp-open. Therefore (X, τ) is sgp-submaximal.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 1.2.14: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Then the space (X, τ) is sgp-submaximal but not an ω -submaximal.

Remark 1.2.15: g-submaximals and sgp-submaximals are independent as seen from the following examples.

Example 1.2.16: In the Example 6.2.31, the space (X, τ) is g-submaximal but not a sgp-submaximal, because for the subset $\{a, c\}$ is dense in (X, τ) it is not a sgp-open set in (X, τ) but it is g-open in (X, τ) .

Example 1.2.17: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the space (X, τ) is sgp-submaximal but not a g-submaximal, because for the subset $\{b, c\}$ is dense in (X, τ) it is not a g-open set in (X, τ) but it is sgp-open in (X, τ) .

REFERENCES

- Abd-El - Monsef, M.E., El-Deeb, S.N. and Mahmoud, R.A., β - open and β - continuous mappings, *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ.* 12 (1983), 70 – 90.
- D. Andrijevic, Semi-preopen sets, *Mat. Vesnik* 38 (1986), No.1, 24 – 32.
- K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and H. Maki, On generalized locally closed sets and GLC-continuous functions, *Indian.Pure Appl. Math.*, 27(3),(1996),235-244.
- N. Biswas , Characterization of semicontinuous mappings, *Atti. Accad. Naz. Lience. Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat* (8), 48 (1970), 399 – 402.
- N. Bourbaki, *General topology, Part I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1966.*
- M. Ganster and I. L. Reilly, Locally closed sets and LC-continuous functions, *Internal J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 12(1989), 417-424.
- Y. Gnanambal, *Studies on generalized pre-regular closed sets and generalization of locally closed sets, Ph.D, Thesis Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, (1998).*
- C. Kuratowski and W. Sierpinski, *Sur les differences deux ensembles fermes, Tohoku Math .J.*, 20(1921), 22-25.
- N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly* 70 (1963), 36-41.
- Mashhour, A.S., I.A. Hasanein and S.N. El-Deeb, *On α -continuous and α - open mappings, Acta. Math Hunga.* 41 (1983), 213 – 218.
- Mashhour, A.S., Abd El-Monsef, M.E., and I.A. Hasanein: *On pretopological spaces, Bull. Math. Soc.Sci.Math. R.S.R.* 28(76) (1984), 39-45.
- Govindappa Navalagi, and Girishsajjanshetar, (2012), *Some properties of (s, p)-open and (s, p)-closed functions, Int. J. of Matematical sciences and applications, Vol. 2 No.1(2012), Pp. 13-16.*
- G. B. Navalagi, *Completely preirresolute functions and completely gp-irresolute functions, IJMMS*
- J. H. Park and J. K. Park, *On semi-generalized locally closed sets, and SGLC-continuous functions, Indian Jl. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31(9) (2000), 1103-1112.
- M. Sheik John, *A study on generalizations of closed sets and continuous maps in topological spaces and bitopological spaces, Ph.D., thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore (2002).*